



## Cloverleaf General Presentation Judge's Scorecard for 4<sup>th</sup> – 6<sup>th</sup> Grade

Judge's Name: _____	Project: _____
---------------------	----------------

Name of 4-H'er	Competitor 1:	Competitor 2:	Competitor 3:	Competitor 4:
<b>Subject of Presentation</b>	<b>Topic:</b>	<b>Topic:</b>	<b>Topic:</b>	<b>Topic:</b>
<b>Appearance (10 pts)</b> 4-H'er is neatly and appropriately dressed for presentation 8-10 = Excellent 6-7=Good 5 = Needs Improvement				
<b>Orderly Progress (20 pts)</b> Presentation flows from beginning to end with a clear introduction, main points & conclusion 17-20 = Excellent 13-16 = Good 10-12 = Needs Improvement				
<b>Researched Information (20 pts)</b> Information is research based, accurate, and correct 17-20 = Excellent 13-16 = Good 10-12 = Needs Improvement				
<b>Coverage of Subject (20 pts)</b> Topic is covered appropriately 17-20 = Excellent 13-16 = Good 10-12 = Needs Improvement				
<b>Delivery (15 pts)</b> Notes are appropriately used; 4-H'ers voice is clear and at an appropriate volume; grammar is correct 13-15 = Excellent 11-12 = Good 10 = Needs Improvement				
<b>Visual Aids (10 pts)</b> Visible and easy for the 4-H'er to use; visuals support the presentation & aid in the explanation 8-10 = Excellent 6-7 = Good 5 = Needs Improvement				
<b>Time (5 pts)</b> 5 -= Up to 6 minutes 4 = Over 6 minutes 3 = Over 7 minutes 2 = Over 8 minutes 1=Over 9 minutes				
<b>Total Score =</b>	_____/100	_____/100	_____/100	_____/100

Revised 9/2015

[georgia4h.org](http://georgia4h.org)

**Please use the following chart as a guide when scoring Cloverleaf Project Achievement presentations.**

	<b>Needs Improvement</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Excellent</b>
<b>Appearance (10 pts)</b> 8-10 = Excellent 6-7=Good 5 = Needs Improvement	Appearance needs improvement.	Appearance is clean and neat. An overall effort is evident.	Clean, fresh, neat, well groomed. Person is dressed appropriately for the subject they are presenting.
<b>Orderly Progress (20 pts)</b> 17-20 = Excellent 13-16 = Good 10-12 = Needs Improvement	No order to presentation material or hard to follow.	Most of presentation ordered (introduction, central ideas, and conclusion) and flowed in logical manner.	Presentation ordered (introduction, central ideas, and conclusion) and flowed in logical manner.
<b>Researched Information (20 pts)</b> 17-20 = Excellent 13-16 = Good 10-12 = Needs Improvement	Information presented is not accurate and does not support project objectives. Lack of research.	Information is mostly accurate and up-to-date. Limited research on topic/subjects.	Information presented is accurate and up-to-date. Excellent research on topics. Presentation cites researched information.
<b>Coverage of Subject (20 pts)</b> 17-20 = Excellent 13-16 = Good 10-12 = Needs Improvement	Presentation is limited in facts and research about the subject.	Presentation includes facts and research about the subject in good detail.	Presentation includes facts and details about the subject in excellent detail.
<b>Delivery (15 pts)</b> 13-15 = Excellent 11-12 = Good 10 = Needs Improvement	Volume, pronunciation, posture, eye contact, and use of notes need improvement.	Voice, language, posture, and eye contact enhance presentation. Notes are not used in excess.	Voice, language, posture, eye contact, and gestures are exceptional. Notes are used minimally.
<b>Visual Aids (10 pts)</b> 8-10 = Excellent 6-7 = Good 5 = Needs Improvement	No visual aids are used.	Visual aids are difficult to read and do not contribute to the presentation.	Visual aids used contribute to an effective presentation and are easy to read and follow.
<b>Time (5 pts)</b> 5 -= Up to 6 minutes 4 = Over 6 minutes 3 = Over 7 minutes 2 = Over 8 minutes 1=Over 9 minutes			

Revised 9/2015